

U.S. Department of Justice

United States Attorney Eastern District of California

McGregor W. Scott United States Attorney

501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, California 95814 916/554-2700 Fax 916/554-2900

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE December 1, 2004

Contact: Patty Pontello, 916-554-2706 www.usdoj.gov/usao/cae/home

SACRAMENTO PAIR PLEAD GUILTY TO BANKRUPTCY FRAUD, MONEY LAUNDERING AND STRUCTURING FINANCIAL TRANSACTIONS

SACRAMENTO - - United States Attorney McGregor W. Scott announced that MASAKO LESH, 55, and MARK JAMES BURELLE, 44, both of Sacramento, California, pleaded guilty before U. S. District Court Judge William B. Shubb today. LESH pleaded guilty to one count each of bankruptcy fraud and money laundering. BURELLE pleaded guilty to one count of structuring financial transactions. Judgment and sentencing has been set before Judge Shubb for February 9, 2005 at 9:00 a.m. where LESH faces a maximum sentence of fifteen years in prison and up to a \$750,000 fine, and BURELLE faces a maximum sentence of five years in prison and a \$250,000 fine.

This case was investigated by the Internal Revenue Service Criminal Investigation division, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and the United States Bankruptcy Trustee.

According to Assistant United States Attorneys James P. Arguelles and Patrick K. Hanly, who are prosecuting the case, during the period of approximately 1995 through September of 1997, defendant MASAKO LESH worked for Channel Tek International Corporation ("Channel Tek"), an electronic components sales company doing business in the State of Florida. After she left Channel Tek, LESH and MARK BURELLE were involved in the start-up in 1998 of EliteCom, an electronic components supply business in Sacramento that acted as a broker for electronic components. On August 26, 1999 Channel Tek obtained a Florida state judgment in which LESH was ordered to pay Channel Tek damages in the amount of \$164,970.58. On November 8, 1999, Channel Tek obtained a "Notice of Entry of Judgment on Sister State Judgment" from the Sacramento Superior Court, in order to enforce its Florida State Court judgment against LESH in Sacramento.

As part of her plea agreement, LESH admitted that beginning on March 7, 2000, and continuing through November of 2004, she devised and participated in a scheme and artifice to defraud Channel Tek out of its judgment. On March 7, 2000, LESH filed a voluntary Chapter 7 petition for bankruptcy protection in the Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of California, in which she fraudulently failed to disclose her interest in EliteCom as an asset, even though it was a very profitable business. In addition, in response to a wage garnishment order obtained by Channel Tek in May of 2001, LESH submitted an "Employer's Return" to the Levying Officer which fraudulently stated that she was no longer employed by EliteCom. LESH admitted, however, that notwithstanding what she represented on the "Employer's Return," from May of 2001 through 2004, she used assumed names to continue to work for, and earn significant

compensation from, EliteCom.

LESH further admitted that in order to create the appearance that she was not working and had no money, she routed significant sums of money which she earned at EliteCom back to herself by issuing EliteCom checks in the name of her son. These checks were subsequently deposited in bank accounts controlled by LESH, on which she and her son were signatories. LESH retained control over and spent most, if not all, of these funds. Finally, LESH admitted that she made multiple false and fraudulent representations and claims concerning her bankruptcy proceeding in a deposition which was conducted by the attorney for the bankruptcy trustee in October of 2001.

As part of his plea agreement, defendant MARK BURELLE admitted that during the ten day period of August 9 - August 19, 2004, he made nine separate cash withdrawals from his account at Washington Mutual Bank, totaling \$49,000. The amounts of these withdrawals varied from \$3000 - \$9000. BURELLE further admitted that at the time he made these withdrawals, he knowingly structured them all to be less than \$10,000, in order to evade the reporting requirements of 31 U.S.C. § 5313, which require all domestic financial institutions, including Washington Mutual, to report cash transactions in an amount greater than \$10,000 to the IRS.

###